Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The court met in its courtroom at 1:30 P.M. Present: Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Presiding Justice; Honorable Steven M. Vartabedian, Associate Justice; Honorable Edward P. Moffat, Pro Tem Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Yolanda I. Grigsby, Deputy Clerk.

F030395 People v. Garcia

F033742 In re Eduardo P. Garcia, Jr. on Habeas Corpus

Cause called and argued by Oliver Northup, Esq., counsel for appellant. Janine R. Busch, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent waived oral argument.

Cause ordered submitted.

F033411 In re the Marriage of Kelly and Clark Maytubby

Cause called and argued by Deanna Martinez, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Steven D. Barnes, Esq., counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until Wednesday, May 17, 2000 at 1:30 P.M.

F033024 In re Crystal C., a Minor

Appellant's petition for rehearing filed herein is denied.

F033414 People v. Helms

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F030864 People v. Teixeira

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F033057 People v. Corral

The judgment and sentence are affirmed. Moffat, Pro Tem J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J.; Vartabedian, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F032247 Johnson, et al. v. International House of Pancakes, et al.

The appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Moffat, Pro Tem J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J.; Vartabedian, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F029307 Wilkinson v. Kumar

The judgment is affirmed. Costs on appeal awarded to respondent, Kumar. Ardaiz, P.J.

We concur: Vartabedian, J.; Moffat, Pro Tem J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F032356 People v. Burns

The conviction for violation of section 11351.5 is affirmed. The conviction for violation of section 11350 and the attendant true finding on Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b) enhancement are set aside. Moffat, Pro Tem J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J.; Vartabedian, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F034822 People v. Mendez

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(c) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F032409 People v. Lopez

The judgment is affirmed. Buckley, J.

We concur: Dibiaso, Acting P.J.; Thaxter, J.;

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F031638 People v. Malfavon

Filed order denying request for publication of the opinion.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F034806 People v. Champion

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

F029304 In re the Marriage of Wermuth

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

F032295 People v. Boswell

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

F032810 People v. Stephens

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F032510 People v. Rutt

The court's decision to revoke probation pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.2 is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F032671 People v. Warren

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.